

**IN THE SPECIFICATION**

The Preliminary Amendment in this case had an apparent typographical error in the paragraph amended beginning on page 25, line 23 through page 26, line 7. This typographical error was in the paragraph as retyped at line 7 where instead of saying “ $\mu$  sec” it said “ $\ddot{y}$  sec”.

Hence, that paragraph should instead read as follows, including the earlier amendment:

The modified video signal provided by the circuit of Figures 42a and 42b causes the AGC amplifier in a VCR to measure incorrectly. As a result based on its measurements of the pseudo sync pulses (and with lowered back porch) paired with AGC pulses of reduced level, it appears to the VCR that a low level video signal is present, and thus the VCR increases the gain of its AGC amplifier. This offsets the reduction of the gain in the AGC VCR amplifier via the basic anticopy process. The added pseudo-sync pulses in the EOF locations each has in one embodiment at least about 2  $\mu$  sec of blanking level (0 IRE) following the trailing edge of each added pseudo-sync pulse to defeat the EOF (vertical) modification. This is accomplished by a switch or waveform replacement circuit as described variously above. This is useful if the high state of the EOF modification has an amplitude greater than 10 to 20 [IPE] IRE. In the absence of the blanking level under these conditions, the EOF modification effect may be reduced but the prior art basic anticopy process effect increased, thus increasing the EOL modification and preventing defeat of the overall anticopy process.

Note that this error was not in the specification as originally filed, but occurred when the paragraph was retyped for the Preliminary Amendment. This is merely a matter of form.